

Volume 9 Issue 2 December 2024: pp 455-469 Copyright ©2024 TALREV. Faculty of Law Tadulako University, Palu, Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. ISSN: 2527-2977 | e-ISSN: 2527-2985.

Open access at: http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR

# REVIEW OF THE APPLICABILITY OF ADVOCATE IMMUNITY RIGHTS IN INDONESIA COMPARATIVE STUDY WITH THE AUSTRALIAN BAR

Fahrizal S.Siagian<sup>1</sup>, Saied Firouzfar<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Khairul Imam<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Master of Law Science, University of North Sumatra, Indonesia. E-mail: fahrizalsiagian@students.usu.ac.id

<sup>2</sup> Human Science Faculty, Islamic Azad University, Iran E-mail: saiedfirouzfar@iauec.ac.ir

> <sup>3</sup> Universitas Al-Azhar Medan, Indonesia. E-mail: sulbadana@untad.ac.id

Abstract: Advocates are one part of the Law Enforcement Officers who have rights and obligations that must be obeyed by each party. Advocate Immunity Rights which is a right that states advocates cannot be prosecuted civilly or criminally in carrying out their professional duties in good faith for the benefit of client defense. Problems First, How is the Influence of Legal Sociology in Supporting the Professional Duties of Advocates? Second, How is the Applicability of Advocate Immunity Rights in accordance with the Indonesian Advocates Law and the Australian Bar Studied Based on Legal Compliance Theory? The purpose of this study is to answer the various problems of this research. Normative juridical method with a comparative study approach in Australia and Indonesia. The very important influence of Legal Sociology in Supporting the Professional Duties of Advocates is because Advocates will be faced with diverse community cultures. Legal Compliance Theory of Advocate Immunity Rights in accordance with Advocate Law Number 18 of 2003 is still often ignored by other law enforcement officials. Advocates are still often criminalized in carrying out professional duties, namely defending their clients. Unlike in Austalia which prioritizes the Right to Immunity. This is a special concern for each of the Governing Body of Law Enforcement to respect each other, in order to create fair law enforcement.

**Keywords:** Advocates; Chess of Nations; Compliance Theory; Immunity Rights.

### **INTRODUCTION**

An advocate is referred to as a legal professional who is trained to provide legal advice and legal assistance to those who need it.(Chi, Lan and Ngan, 2023) In addition to the term Advocate, other terminology mentions Lawyer. Different countries have different terms for Advocate. In the UK it is called Solicitor, while in Germany it is called *Rechtsanwalt*. Advocates are one of the components of the Governing Body *of* Law Enforcement that is regulated in Law Number 18 Year 2003 on Advocates.

Based on Article 1 Point 1 of the Law, it explains that an Advocate is a person whose profession is to provide legal services, both inside and outside the court who fulfils the requirements based on the provisions of this law. Advocates are at the forefront of guarding the Indonesian Rule of Law based on Pancasila and the 1945 Constitution. A noble and independent judiciary requires a free, independent and responsible Advocate profession. This is for the implementation of an honest judiciary that strives for the

achievement of justice, expediency and legal certainty. In addition, Advocates are also given the responsibility to uphold human rights and maintain the rule of law.

The main duties and functions of Advocates are carried out by taking into account the oath taken during the inauguration as an Advocate. The oath is that in carrying out professional duties as a legal service provider must act honestly, fairly, and responsibly based on law and justice. Therefore, Advocates have an equal position with law enforcement officers such as police, prosecutors, and other law enforcement officers.

Advocates in carrying out professional duties defend the legal interests of the public who have given their Special Power of Attorney. Advocates are entitled to obtain information data related to the defense of the legal interests of the Power of Attorney (Client). In addition, in the process of defending their clients, an Advocate who submits information to the print or electronic. Protecting the rights of an advocate is the responsibility of all components of the nation. Advocate immunity is an important element that must be protected. This immunity protection should be the same between countries in the world. In various countries that can be used as a comparative study related to the Fundamental Rights of Advocates, namely the United States. The difference in Social Culture and Legal System is the difference between Advocates in Indonesia and the United States. In relation to the rights and dignity of an Advocate in the United States is highly upheld.

This is because all components of the nation consider advocates as fighters for the individual rights of the people of the United States in seeking justice.(Mauluna and Husodo, 2022) Advocates actually have the right to immunity, which will protect them in carrying out their professional duties. This right is regulated in Article 16 of Law Number 18 Year 2003 on Advocates. Advocate Immunity Right is a right that states an Advocate cannot be prosecuted civilly or criminally in carrying out his professional duties in good faith for the benefit of the defense of his Client. Thus, an Advocate has the independence to defend the legal interests of the Client. Note that an Advocate must stay within the corridors of the law and the values of decency. The limitation of the right of immunity only applies to an Advocate who performs duties that are justified by the law and does not commit misconduct.

The basis of the Right to Immunity has been clearly regulated in a statute as a Real Law. However, a regulation is always faced with two sides, namely obeying and disobeying the orders of the law. Non-compliance with the mandate of the law is influenced by several possible causes, including obscure legal substance, legal structure which includes enforcement officials who do not carry out laws and regulations properly, and legal culture of the community with low legal awareness being the cause of legal increasingly violations rampant. relation to Advocate Immunity Rights, it is still often violated by various related parties. This is marked by the frequent criminalization of Advocates who are carrying out their professional duties. Of course this has the potential to cause disharmony between law enforcement officials. It is important to remember that Advocates' Immunity Rights are often ignored by various parties, indicating that legal culture is still a problem in law enforcement today. Non-compliance with legal orders is studied using a legal sociology approach to determine the extent to which the regulation permeates the culture of society in general. This is because a problem related to whether a



ISSN: 2527-2977 | e-ISSN: 2527-2985.

Open access at: <a href="http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR">http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR</a>

rule is effective or not must be measured by legal sociology which focuses on empirical facts that describe the problems that occur.

Previous Research by Muhammad Khambali discusses Advocate Immunity Rights, namely Advocate Immunity Rights are Not Unlimited, Journal of Legal Cakrawala, Volume 2, Issue 2 of 2017 which focuses on aspects that are not optimal in practice regarding the Immunity Rights of an Advocate. Therefore, this research proposes that the state should play an active role in guaranteeing the rights of an advocate. The research only proposes that the state pay attention to the fundamental rights of Advocates. (Khambali, 2017)

However, a breakthrough of this research is to provide an overview of the condition of the enforcement Advocates' Immunity Rights in Indonesia through a comparative study with Australia. This research raises the title about Review of the Applicability of Indonesian Advocate Immunity Rights Comparison with the Australian Bar. The problem that is the subject of discussion in this research which are *The first*, How is the Influence of Legal Sociology in Supporting the Tasks of the Advocate Profession ? The second, How the Applicability of Advocate Immunity Rights in accordance with the Indonesian Advocates Act and the Australian Bar is Studied Based on Legal Compliance Theory. The purpose of this research is to answer the problems of this research.

This research proposes a new idea, namely that the Advocates Law Number 18 of 2003 should be revised and affirmed in relation to Advocates' Immunity Rights along with Criminal Sanctions against those who do not respect Advocates' Immunity Rights. Not only that, this

research also requests that the Advocate Law later accommodate Advocate ethical sanctions related to the presence or absence of an Advocate's good faith. This research also proposes the establishment of an Advocate Professional Ethics and Honor Committee comprised of various Advocate Organizations across Indonesia. This committee is needed to maintain the dignity of the Advocate as Officium Nobile. Not only that, an advocate in good faith, as stated in Article 16 of the Advocates Law, can only be assessed by the Internal Committee of the Advocate Organization itself.

#### **METHOD**

The method used in this research is descriptive qualitative by collecting various sources and real understanding as a descriptive data collection technique. According to Barda Nawawi Arief, the Descriptive Method is defined as a problem-solving procedure investigated by describing / describing the state of the subject or object of the article at the present time based on the facts that appear or as they are. Qualitative research is used to describe a situation as it is without using numbers. The type of research used normative juridical or doctrinal research.(Nurhayati, Ifrani and Said, 2021).

The results of this study obtained a juridical study that was described to achieve the acquisition of legal science studies. This research method is one of legal research that analyzes, examines juridically, and examines the operation of law in society.(Disemadi, 2022) The approach used is a statutory approach relating to Advocate immunity rights. The approach used to examine the research problem is first, a comparative approach which means that a legal review of two

different countries is carried out regarding the applicability of Advocate Immunity Rights in Australia and Indonesia. This comparison will explain the similarities and differences between the two. This research examines the law conceptualized as real behavior that indicates various unwritten social symptoms experienced by everyone in social life. The purpose of this research method is to find the effectiveness and ineffectiveness of the enactment of a particular legislation or principle related to the enactment of Advocate Immunity Rights in Australia and Indonesia. The types of data used are primary and secondary data.

#### ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The Influence of Legal Sociology in Supporting Advocates Professional Duties

Literally, Sociology is formed from the word Socio which means social or society. While *logos* which means science. So that the two fragments of the word when put together will form a sentence that is Sociology is a branch of science that studies various aspects of symptoms related to social life of the community. Sociology is a branch of social science. So that Sociology is able to penetrate across relevant sciences that are interrelated with each other. The aspect that can be penetrated by Sociology is the aspect of Law. The effort to penetrate it is by interpreting the law through two ways, namely traditional and modern. Law is seen traditionally, namely theway people viewed the law before the 15th century viewed the lawas an ethical or moral. It means that the law contains meaning as a noble rule related to one's spirituality and morality. Good morality ethics will always and encourage individuals to obey the law. In addition, obeying the law becomes a moral calling of every society.

After the end of the 15th century BCE, there was also a change in the perspective of law from traditional to modern. The modern perspective is to view law as a rule that has an attachment the interests of the state. This perspective explains that law is always orientated towards state laws. Therefore, this perspective is considered to influence the perspective of the community, which has now begun to ignore regulations as a This modern perspective eliminated the noble nature of the law itself. Thus, law is defined as a rule that contains commands and prohibitions that are morally noble to be obeyed.

Sociology of Law is a branch of science that is outside the dogmatic of law (legal science) and studies law. This Sociology of Law describes the level of legal validity (legal utility) in the community environment. This science places society as the main agent in seeing how effective the law can absorb the social environment. This is very urgent, because the law actually comes from the people, by the people, and for the people. So that the Sociology of Law is closely related to the people. Sociology has an important influence in the development of science, especially the field of law. Sociology also has an important influence on the development of legal practice in the world. This is in line with the adage conveyed by Marcus Tulius Cicero who called the law born from society with the phrase "Ubi societas Ibi ius" which means where there is society there is law. (Matnuh, 2018) This explains that in the gathering of several humans will give birth to an agreement product that is valued as a role model that is jointly obeyed in order to achieve the order of a human group. This is also what makes law a social science that has a broad impact on life today. The development of material and formal law is essentially always related to the development of



ISSN: 2527-2977 | e-ISSN: 2527-2985.

Open access at: <a href="http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR">http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR</a>

human civilization. The development of material law will be associated with the sociology of law regarding relationship between law and the social characteristics of society. Material law is a statutory provision that contains orders prohibitions accompanied sanctions. Includes the Criminal Code, Civil Code, Law, and so on. Community characteristics play a big role in influencing a law. It can also be said to be related to community culture. The development of human civilization has always undergone significant changes. Law is always delayed compared to the development of human civilization, as a legal postulate states in Het Recht Hink Achter de Feiten Aan.(Lie, Ramadhan and Redi, 2023) This also affects the substance of a set of laws and regulations (national law).

The development of formal law is influenced changes by in the characteristics of society. Formal law is a rule that contains mechanisms or ways to enforce material law. This formal law is oriented towards a method or legal action so that it is also called procedural law, including criminal law, civil procedure, state administrative procedures, and so This procedural law is always dynamic because it is always used for the law enforcement process from the first to the final stage of the law enforcement process within the scope of judicial power.Law enforcement within judicial system is carried out by the main agents of law enforcement. The main agent of law enforcement is also known as Catur Wangsa. (Khalid, 2019) Law Enforcement Officers which include Police, Prosecutors, Advocates, Judges. The law enforcement process is faced with various ways and social

interactions between individuals with one another. This aims to find the truth and enforce the law. Good law enforcement must always prioritize morality as the main element. Advocates, as one of the elements of the justice system, are one of the pillars of upholding the rule of law and human rights. (Hadiyanto, Alwan; Alam, 2023).

The presence of the lawyer throughout the criminal case legal system helps prevent the occurrence of the authority of other law enforcement agencies that could potentially violate human rights. It is also what makes the lawyer a noble profession because of defending the legal interests of every human race which is part of the human rights aspect.(Moore, 2020) A lawyer from the investigative, serves investigative and investigative stages and examines the evidence obtained by the police as well as oversees any existing proceedings, thereby improving quality of law enforcement so as to contribute to finding objective truth without the presence of case engineering.(Bernstein, 2019).

In carrying out professional duties, the establishment of justice and society includes efforts to empower the community to realize fundamental rights before the law. The existence and essence of advocates are based on the provisions contained in the Constitution. The 1945 Constitution, Article 1, Paragraph 3, states unequivocally that the State of Indonesia is a state based on law or *Rechtstaat*.(Calvin and Pratiwi, 2018).

The rule of law guarantees equality before the law for everyone. Therefore, Article 28D of the 1945 Constitution states that everyone is entitled to recognition, guarantees, protection, and certainty of a just law and equal

treatment before the law. Efforts to realise the principle of the rule of law in the life of society, nation and state. The role and function of Advocates as a free, independent and responsible profession is important in addition to other Law Enforcement Agencies.

The Sosiology of Law has an important role in relation to the law enforcement process carried out by law enforcement officials. (Susanti and Rahardjo, 2018) This is because the law is actually a provision that contains rules about orders and prohibitions to create security, peace and order in society. According to Mochtar Kusumaatmadja, the purpose of law is only to achieve public order. (Dedihasriadi and Nurcahyo, 2020).

The school of legal history believes that law grows and develops in the soul of the nation, not made and especially intentionally. Law is formed through a bottom up mechanism, not top down. The influence of Legal Sociology is needed in the law enforcement process. This is because the law was born from society. Mochtar Kusumaatmadja once said that law as a social rule cannot be separated from the values prevailing in a society. Good law is the law that lives in society (the Living Law).(Aulia, 2019) The process of law enforcement in the social sphere is faced with social problems that can be studied through a legal sociology approach. It is through this approach that a law enforcement officer, especially an Advocate, is able to interpret problems that occur in society through legal sociology theory.An Advocate with integrity and quality is measured by his ability to analyze every problem that occurs in society. Advocates must beable to interpret various problems, juridical facts, and social symptoms that occur in society to provide legal solutions to defend the legal interests of clients. This is the main task of an Advocate in

defending people who are in conflict with the law in order to achieve justice, benefit and legal certainty. The Applicability of Advocates Immunity Rights Under the Indonesian Advocates Act and the Australian Bar Studied Under Compliance Theory

#### **Compliance Theory**

Compliance comes from the word obey which means to like and obey orders or rules, and to be disciplined. So compliance is the nature of obeying, obeying, and submitting to the teachings of the rules. Compliance theory initiated by Stanley Milgram. This theory explains a condition in which a person complies or does not comply with a predetermined rule order influenced by several factors. There are two perspectives in sociological literacy regarding compliance with the law, namely instrumental and normative. The Instrumental perspective assumes that individuals obey the law due considerations of potential gains and losses. Every individual obeys the law expect because they positive consequences in the form of rewards and same at the time avoid negative punishment. consequences, namely Meanwhile, the normative perspective considers that individuals obey the law based on values, norms, and morality. Individuals obey the law because they consider it to be the right action and in accordance with prevailing societal values or social norms.

## A Comparison of the Enforceability of Immunity Rights Under the Indonesian Advocates Act and the Australian Bar Studied Under the Theory of Legal Compliance

Advocates in carrying out their duties and functions as legal defenders must be protected by the Advocate Immunity Right. This right has been regulated in the Advocates Law No.



ISSN: 2527-2977 | e-ISSN: 2527-2985.

Open access at: <a href="http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR">http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR</a>

18/2003 which explains the limited immunity right in Articles 14, 15, and 16. This immunity right was strengthened by the Constitutional Court Decision No. 26/PUU-XI/2013 which contains a new interpretation that has full binding legal force on the Advocate's immunity right. The decision recognizes and guarantees the protection of Advocates in non-litigation actions carried out in good faith and in the interest of defending Clients in and out of court.(Cahyani *et al.*, 2021)

This immunity aims to protect Advocates to be able to work independently and without pressure from any party that interferes with legal assistance to clients. Assessment of the applicability Advocate Immunity Rights in accordance with the theory of legal compliance can be done by looking at the extent of the implementation of each existing rule. This legal compliance theory explains that the extent to which Advocates and other related institutions understand and comply with the rules contained in the Advocates Law. Furthermore, this theory is used to answer the applicability of this Immunity Right in the world of judicial practice. However, looking at various legal problems that occur in the community involving Advocates in defending the legal interests of the community, there are often efforts to hinder the performance of Advocates. It is even more fragrant that there is an attempt to criminalize a lawyer because in carrying out his professional duties there are parties who do not accept the sentences delivered to the public, thus attempting to impede the performance of the lawyers in defending the legal interests of the society seeking justice. This, of course, marks that the law enforcement agencies themselves have not yet understood the right to immunity of a lawyer who must work purely and

free from intimidation by any party. Moreover, an attorney must have the right of immunity free from any personal or criminal claims.

This Legal Compliance Theory is closely related to the theory of the legal system initiated by Lawrence Meir Friedman. According to him, the legal system consists of elements of substance, structure, and legal culture.(Hutomo and Soge, 2021) Until now, the most difficult element to regulate is the legal culture of society. This is due to the culture of society in Indonesia which is thick with the principle of kinship, less emphasis on quality, prioritizing the principle of kinship (relationship), lack of awareness, and often underestimating small mistakes. Some of these things cause people to easily violate simple norms which over time become systematic violations. This is the poor legal culture of Indonesian society. A poor legal culture affects the level of public compliance with the law. Advocates in carrying out professional duties are often reported to the police and eventually named as suspects. Where as Advocates exercise their authority to defend the legal interests of their clients. The need for legal services of an Advocate includes providing legal advice, providing legal consultations, legal opinions, legal audits, defense in and out of court. In addition, Advocates also provide legal assistance in criminal cases or civil cases, trade arbitration, and labor issues. The goal is to guarantee the client's rights before the law. The Advocate profession is said to be a noble profession, so it deserves to be protected in carrying out its duties and conducting legal defense in litigation and non-litigation.(Legowo and Puspitosari, 2023). Advocates in Indonesia considered as agents of las development which means as agents who develop law in society. Purnadi Purbacaraka and Soerjono Soekanto explained that law enforcement is an integration of a steady value relationship and embodied in the attitude of value translation actions that have created social engineering, maintain, and maintain (social control) in the peace of life. Good law enforcement is law enforcement that involves harmonization between values and norms with the real behavior of a human being. The values and rules contained in the law must be applied to the facts of real human events.

Advocates are not bound political power or are not subject to the hierarchy of government positions. This profession is called independent because it is not bound by any power. As a legal service provider, Advocates are tasked with solving their clients' legal problems through both litigation and non-litigation. Advocates must devote themselves to people who need legal aid services, one of which is fighting for human rights. Therefore, this profession is called a profession or Officium noble Nobile.Advocates, as a noble profession, share the same characteristics as fighters for justice in society. A true warrior must be respected and maintain his dignity. Therefore, Advocates in carrying out their professional duties must be protected by law. Protected in the sense that in carrying out their professional duties, an Advocate cannot be prosecuted before the law in relation to the case he is handling. The nobility of this profession should be respected by anyone including fellow law enforcement officials (Catur Wangsa).

Article 16 of the Advocates Law states that Advocates cannot be prosecuted either civilly or criminally in carrying out their professional duties in good faith for the benefit of the client's defense in or out of court. This clearly states the prohibition and warning that Advocates are free from all lawsuits when

carrying out their professional duties. However, it is not interpreted something that must be obeyed. Compliance theory in the context of law and organizations includes the study of factors that influence individual and organizational behavior to comply with rules, norms, or regulations. As stated by Parker, compliance theory Christine organizational factors considers compliance with influence the law.(Efendi, Aan; Susanti, 2022) The act of compliance is more likely to occur when an institution understands and applies rules consistently, provides reinforcement, and positive an organizational culture that supports compliance. Seeing the condition of state institutions in Indonesia today is very concerning. The recruitment system that is carried out routinely to obtain Human Resources does not run optimally. The empirical fact is that there are still efforts that are cloaked by Corruption, Collusion, and Nepotism in it so that the expected quality of human resources is not obtained. Indeed, an institution in order to carry out its main institutional duties must be supported by quality human resources. In addition, Law Enforcement Agencies that should enforce the law, but violate the mandate of the law. This indicates that a poor organizational culture will result in a low level of compliance with the law. Similarly, if the culture of organization is good, then this will also support compliance with the according to the theory put forward by Christine Parker on the Compliance Theory.Bad organizational culture can also be seen from a lack of awareness to respect and obey the law. So some important things have to be obeyed, but not. One of the objects that is often overlooked is the immunity of the lawyer. Bad organizational culture can also be seen from a lack of awareness to respect and obey the law. So some important



ISSN: 2527-2977 | e-ISSN: 2527-2985.

Open access at: <a href="http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR">http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR</a>

things have to be obeyed, but not. One of the objects that is often overlooked is the right to immunity, which is that lawyers are often criminalized. Criminalization is a process or decision to clarify an act or behavior as a crime or violate the provisions of criminal law. The formal step is to report someone to law enforcement (police) for and on behalf of legal certainty. Several examples of cases have often occurred, such as in the case of an Advocate in defending his Client, but systematically trying to report Advocate to the Police on the grounds of defamation, hate speech, and spreading false news (Hoax). This indicates that the Advocate's immunity rights stipulated in the Advocate Law are not complied with by various parties, especially fellow Law Enforcement Officers. The Advocate's Right of Immunity applies to any action of the Advocate that is justified by applicable legal norms. If the Advocate violates criminal law norms, such as committing acts of Obstruction of Justice in carrying out his/her professional duties, the Advocate cannot use his/her immunity rights to justify criminal acts.(Susanto et al., 2023) This is an exception to the Right to Immunity under the Advocates Law. In general, Advocates who do not have good faith in carrying out their profession can be prosecuted according to applicable legal provisions. However, the interpretation of the right to immunity in accordance with Law Number 18 Year 2003 is considered necessary to specify the rules so as not to have multiple interpretations in the future. Each country in the world has its own distinctive features with regard to certain rights of its Advocates. For example, Australia is structured around the assumption of being a rule of law country. Usually this is interpreted as two things, firstly, the role

of the courts to determine various aspects relating to the authority of the executive in carrying out its functions. With regard to the right to immunity of Advocates in Australia, it is well executed professional. The House of Lord by mutual agreement declared acts intimidate and threaten lawyers unjustified. Not only that, the attempt to prosecute a lawyer in the exercise of his professional duties as an act that must be stopped. The lawyer is immune from responsibility for his negligence.(Groves and Derham. 2019) Here are the similarities and differences in the validity of the immunity rights of lawyers in Indonesia and Australia. Similarities in the Applicability of Advocates Immunity Rights in Indonesia and AustraliaIn general, Indonesia and Australia have the same understanding of immunity rights. Immunity is an exclusive right granted to Advocate in carrying out professional duties to defend the legal interests of the attorney. Advocates' immunity rights in Australia aim to protect them from pressure or threats. This is so that advocates can provide honest and effective legal advice to their clients. Advocate Immunity Rights in Australia are held in high regard by all components of the nation. In that country, the right of immunity covers communications between an Advocate and his or her Client in both criminal and civil contexts. communication is considered Privileged communication and not as evidence in the trial.(Bolin, 2012)The expectation of secrecy is not the same as confidentiality in the context confidential communications. communication in question may still be considered confidential even though it is private. Positive law in Indonesia, including the Constitution and Advocates

Law Number 18 on 2003 as well as several Constitutional Court Decisions have strengthened the legality immunity rights always attached to an Advocate if in carrying out the defense of the legal interests of his client based on the principle of good faith. Lawyer immunity in Australia is generally highly respected and considered an integral part and effective system.(Wolski, 2020) This principle supports the freedom of lawyers to carry out their duties without fear of unfounded Public claims. awareness Australia that the advocate's right to immunity supports the success of the legal system has made it an important aspect to be respected by various parties, ranging the courts, law from enforcement agencies, and the general public. Public culture also affects the level of respect for lawyer immunity rights between Australia Indonesia.Differences Applicability of Advocate **Immunity** Rights in Indonesia and Australia When studying the structure of the Bar Association, Australia adopted the Single Bar system which means that legal practitioners can become members of one Australian Bar Association according to state as the jurisdiction practicing.(Jervis, 2019) This condition raises the degree and public confidence in lawyers in Australia. It's because in Australia it's very organized his lawyer's organization by maximizing the Single Bar.

Unlike in Indonesia, where there are various advocacy organizations, it is difficult to enforce the Code of Ethics of the Honor of Lawyers that has been regulated in the Law on Lawyers. For example, in maximizing the meaning of the provisions of the Right to Immunity in that law, there is the phrase "goodwill." Therefore, the party entitled to judge the

goodwill or not of a lawyer is an internal element of the lawyer that includes the organization of laws. That's what's a problem in Indonesia. So many of the people who are in charge of this profession have committed violations of the law that have resulted in the good name of this occupation being defiled. However, it is true that the lawyer is a noble profession with the right of immunity in it has a very good essence. Under Act Number 18 of 2003 on lawyers, the system in Indonesia is Single Bar.(Logan, 2023) Article 28 Paragraph 1 of the Law on Lawyers stipulates that the Bar Association is the only independent and independent consortium of profession of lawyers formed accordance with the provisions of this law with the purpose and purpose improving the quality of the law profession. So the sound of the article is interpreted that in Indonesia adopted the Single Bar system with the recognized and entitled organization to conduct the examination of candidate lawyers, nominate candidates, form the Supervisory Board, and form Honorary Council of Lawyers namely the Indonesian Bar Association. (Peradi). However, the sentence that states Single Bar or Multi Bar is not mentioned in the law. However, through Constitutional Court submitted Judicial Review related to this Lawyers Act. The aim is to obtain clarity about the meaning of the article in a rule of law that is obscured and in conflict with the Constitution. The Law on Lawyers does not state explicitly concerning Single Bar or Multi Bar. However, in various Constitutional Court decisions one of them in Decision Number 014/Law-IV/2006, MK in its legal consideration affirmed that Peradi, which is an acronym of the Indonesian Bar Association, as the Lawyer Organization is the only container of the profession of lawyers under the



ISSN: 2527-2977 | e-ISSN: 2527-2985.

Open access at: <a href="http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR">http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR</a>

Law Number 18 of 2003 on lawyer.In addition, in MK Decision Number 101/Law-VII/2009, MK has considered in Article 28 Paragraph 1 of the Law on Lawyers that the existence of a lawyer organization is the only container of the profession of lawyers, so that the lawyering organization is de facto only the Indonesian Bar Association (Peradi) and the Congress of Lawyer Indonesia. (KAI). Whereas in Decision Number 66/PUU-VIII/2010, Peradi as the sole organization of Advocates according to the Advocates Law has special authority to carry out testing of Advocate candidates, carry out the appointment of Advocates, form an honorary board, form a Supervisory Commission, and dismiss Advocates. This decision also considers other advocate organizations that have de facto existed, which cannot be prohibited. This is supported by Articles 28 and 28E Paragraph 3 of the 1945 Constitution.A lawyer's immunity in Australia is due to the authority granted to an attorney to defend his client's interests in a sense of security without fear of any particular pressure from any party. An attorneys in Australia are protected by the right of immunity when defending a client' s interests with unclear chronological cases of his case or his jurisdictional facts showing legal weaknesses.(Byrne, 2018) In Australia, a lawyer is given the right to use advances in Artificial Intelligence technology that relate to matters subject to the client's instructions.

Besides, Artificial Intelligence is also used as a lawyer's tactic in dealing with the law of events when the case is unclear in terms of its jurisdictional facts. It allows the right of immunity to be

exercised.(Rogers and Bell. 2019) Australia has used its technological capabilities to assist its lawyer's duties in deposition Artificial both issues, Intelligence to analyze cases under consideration, and other administrative needs. Unlike in Indonesia, which still has not maximized the ability of modern technology to accommodate the potential of a lawyer in Indonesia. The lawyers in Indonesia have also not maximized the true technology as an instrument to facilitate human performance. This is a problem that affects the optimization of the application and enforcement of the immunity rights of lawyers, because the right to immunity applies when a lawyer is performing his duties to defend the interests of his client in good faith. Juridically, the right to judge a lawyer is either the Honorary Council or the Commission of the Code of Ethics of the Lawyer Organization. For example, in a police institution, if a member of the Police institution commits an act of ethical violation, then it will be tried internally through the Session of the Committee of the code of ethics of a police profession. (KKEP). If found guilty internally, it will be transferred to external legal proceedings through the General Court. This should also apply in the world of Advocates. The only party that has the right to judge whether an Advocate has violated the Ethics or not is the Advocate Organization.Of course when referring to the conditions in Indonesia that in theory use Single Bar but in practice Multi Bar. It should be remembered that the Multi Bar system is one of its difficulties is when there is a lawyer who commits a violation of the law will be difficult to conduct an internal test of a Lawyer guilty or not. So this condition has an impact on the non-maximum application of the

immunity rights of lawyers in the legal practice of events in Indonesia. This has become a crucial issue within the field of lawyers in Indonesia.

#### **CONCLUSION**

the influence of the First. sociological aspect in advancing the profession of lawyer is essential. Not only is it necessary, visiting a lawyer is obligatory to have knowledge related to the study of the Sociology of Law. This ability is useful to interpret various legal events through the approach of the sociology of law in order to be able to find legal solutions related to legal problems that occur. First, it recommended that the Bar Association increase the duration of Special Education of the Lawyer's Profession (PKPA) from the usual one month with eight meetings to a full one-month duration. It aims to consolidate the ability of prospective lawyers in the face of the world of legal practice. In addition, the Legal Sociology and other similar materials that correlate with social sciences must be deepened in the Special Education of the Lawyer profession in order to produce a reliable integrated lawyer.Second, applicability of Advocate Immunity Rights in accordance with Advocate Law Number 18 of 2003 and the Australian Bar Studied Based on Legal Compliance Theory is still often ignored by various parties, one of which is by fellow law enforcement officials. The compliance theory describes community compliance only as an Instrumental Perspective. This perspective only assumes that individuals comply with the law due to considerations of potential gains and losses. This condition is also in line with the large number of Advocate organizations which has implications for the difficulty of controlling Advocates. Too Advocate Organizations make it easier to become an Advocate.So that this is the cause of the culture of law enforcement and the immunity rights of an Advocate are not maximized. Unlike in Australia, which adheres to the Single Bar system, it is very easy to control its Advocates so that the public also respects the Advocate profession in that country. Therefore, the right to immunity of Advocates can run optimally. Secondly, the best solution to address the minimum compliance of the various parties relating to the right of immunity of a lawyer by providing strict sanctions against the parties who do not abide by the law of lawyers, in particular with regard to the Right of Immunity. It is also necessary to strengthen the position of Lawyers in the social, national, and national life. Furthermore, it is expected that a Disciplinary Committee and Code of Ethics of the Lawyer's Occupation, composed of various Lawvers' Organizations, is deemed essential to uphold the reputation of lawyers in Indonesia. If there is a lawyer who commits a criminal offence, then it must be to the Council of Ethics of the Lawyer's Profession to be later found guilty or not. If proven, then the matter can be referred to other law enforcement agencies. Furthermore, it is recommended that Indonesia immediately incorporate the scope of the National Lawyer by undertaking a reorganization and making it in a single container of the Joint Advocate Profession Honours of the various lawful lawyer organizations registered within the State Administration. This is to facilitate the realization of the often neglected rights of lawyers, including the right to immunity. Besides, this proposal has a positive impact on the courtesy of the lawyer so it looks well-organized, systematic, and structured.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**



ISSN: 2527-2977 | e-ISSN: 2527-2985.

Open access at: <a href="http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR">http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR</a>

The authors thank the Master of Law Sciences from the University of North Sumatra, Human Science Faculty from Islamic Azad University, and Al-Azhar University who have contributed theoretically and important information relevant to the context of this research. Thank you also to Tadulako Law Review Journal who has been willing to process this legal article so it can be published well.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- (2019)Aulia, M.Z. 'Hukum Pembangunan dari Mochtar Kusuma-atmadja: Mengarahkan Pembangunan atau Mengabdi pada Pembangunan?', Undang: Jurnal Hukum, 1(2),363–392. pp. Available https://doi.org/10.22437/ujh.1.2.363 -392.
- Bernstein, A. (2019) 'Minding the gaps in lawyers' rules of professional conduct', *Oklahoma Law Review*, 72(1), pp. 125–148.
- Bolin, R. (2012) 'Risky mail: Concerns in confidential attorneyclient email', *University of Cincinnati Law Review*, 81(2), pp. 601–655. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.201350 2.
- Byrne, C. (2018) 'A Death by a Thousand Cuts: The Future of Advocates' Immunity in Australia', *Journal of Judicial Administration*, 28(2), pp. 98–121.
- Cahyani, F. *et al.* (2021) 'Kedudukan Hak Imunitas Advokat', *Jurnal USM Law Review*, 4(1), pp. 146–160. Available at: https://doi.org/10.26623/julr.v1i2.22 53.

- Calvin, J. and Pratiwi, M.G. (2018) 'Dalam Melakukan Pekerjaan', 2(2), pp. 691–699.
- Chi, L.L., Lan, N.T. and Ngan, N.H. 'The Presence of the (2023)Defense Lawyer in Vietnam's Criminal Justice System: Substantive Cosmetic?', or Hasanuddin Law Review, 9(1), pp. 20–38. Available https://doi.org/10.20956/halrev.v9i1 .4121.
- Dedihasriadi, L.O. and Nurcahyo, E. (2020)'Pancasila Sebagai Volkgeist: Pedoman Penegak Hukum dalam Mewujudkan Integritas Diri dan Keadilan', Jurnal Magister Hukum Udayana (Udayana Master Law Journal), 142. Available 9(1), p. https://doi.org/10.24843/jmhu.2020. v09.i01.p10.
- Disemadi, H.S. (2022) 'Lenses of Legal Research: A Descriptive Essay on Legal Research Methodologies', *Journal of Judicial Review*, 24(2), p. 289. Available at: https://doi.org/10.37253/jjr.v24i2.72
- Efendi, Aan; Susanti, D.O. (2022) Hukum Perlindungan Konsumen Quo Vadis Perlindungan Konsumen Pangan Rekayasa Genetika. Depok: RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Gayo, S. (2022) 'Loss of Advocate Immunity Due To Obstruction Of Justice Based On Criminal Provisions', *Sasi*, 28(4), p. 599. Available at: https://doi.org/10.47268/sasi.v28i4. 1071.
- Groves, M. and Derham, M. (2019) 'Should Advocates' Immunity Continue?', *Melbourne University Law Review*, 28, pp. 80–124.

- Hadiyanto, Alwan; Alam, A.S. (2023)

  'The Advocates' Immunity Rights
  In Indonesia's Criminal Justice
  System', *JPH: Jurnal Pembaharuan Hukum*, 10(2), pp.
  327–338. Available at:
  https://jurnal.unissula.ac.id/index.ph
  p/PH/article/view/33463.
- Hutomo, P. and Soge, M.M. (2021) 'Perspektif Teori Sistem Hukum Dalam Pembaharuan Pengaturan Sistem Pemasyarakatan Militer', *Legacy: Jurnal Hukum dan Perundang-Undangan*, 1(1), pp. 46–68. Available at: https://doi.org/10.21274/legacy.202 1.1.1.46-68.
- Jaya Putra, M. (2019) 'Problematics in Handling Criminal Offence on Poor Communities by Advocate (A Study on Gunung Sugih District Court)', *FIAT JUSTISIA: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*, 13(1), p. 31. Available at: https://doi.org/10.25041/fiatjustisia. v13no1.1406.
- Jervis, C.E.M. (2019) 'Jurisdictional immunities revisited: An analysis of the procedure substance distinction in international law', *European Journal of International Law*, 30(1), pp. 105–128. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chz009.
- Khalid, A. (2019) 'Upaya Kriminalisasi Terhadap Advokat Dalam Menjalankan Profesi Hukum', *Jentera Hukum Borneo*, 03(18), pp. 136–170. Available at: http://ejournal.uay.ac.id/index.php/j hb.
- Khambali, M. (2017) 'Hak Imunitas Advokat Tidak Tak Terbatas', *Cakrawala Hukum*, 19(1), pp. 1–29. Available at: https://ejournal.up45.ac.id/index.ph p/cakrawala-hukum/article/download/328/291.
- Legowo, N.A. and Puspitosari, H. (2023) 'Settlement of Any Cases By

- Advocates Against Clients', *JOSAR* (*Journal of Students Academic Research*), 8(1), pp. 30–41. Available at: https://doi.org/10.35457/josar.v9i1. 2526.
- Lie, G., Ramadhan, D.A. and Redi, A. (2023) 'Komisi Independen Perlindungan Data Pribadi: Quasi Peradilan Dan Upaya Terciptanya Right To Be Forgotten Di Indonesia', *Jurnal Yudisial*, 15(2), p. 227. Available at: https://doi.org/10.29123/jy.v15i2.53 0.
- Logan, J. (2023) 'Judicial Accountability

   New Developments and Threats'

  A Paper delivered at the Commonwealth Lawyers', in New Developments and Threats'' A Paper delivered at the Commonwealth Lawyers.
- Matnuh, H. (2018) 'Law as a Tool of Social Engineering', 147(Icsse 2017), pp. 118–120. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2991/icsse-17.2018.28.
- Mauluna, A.E. and Husodo, J.A. (2022) 'Analisis Perbandingan Pengaturan Penyelenggaraan Pendidikan Profesi Advokat antara Indonesia dan Amerika Serikat', 6(1), pp. 1– 19.
- Mcleod, B.S. (2012) 'The Milgram Experiment', *Encyclopedia of the Sciences of Learning*, pp. 3311–3311. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1428-6\_6025.
- Moore, J. (2020) 'Attorney-client communication in public defense: a qualitative examination', *Criminal Justice Policy Review*, 31(6), pp. 908–938. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/0887403419 861672 28.
- Nurhayati, Y., Ifrani, I. and Said, M.Y. (2021) 'Metodologi Normatif Dan



ISSN: 2527-2977 | e-ISSN: 2527-2985.

Open access at: <a href="http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR">http://jurnal.fakum.ac.id/index.php/TLR</a>

Empiris Dalam Perspektif Ilmu Hukum', *Jurnal Penegakan Hukum Indonesia*, 2(1), pp. 1–20. Available at:

https://doi.org/10.51749/jphi.v2i1.14.

- Orozco, D. (2020) 'A systems theory of compliances law', *Journal of Business Law*, 22(2), pp. 246–301. Available at: https://perma.cc/366X-9U2S1.
- Rogers, J. and Bell, F. (2019) 'The Ethical AI Lawyer: What is Required of Lawyers When They Use Automated Systems?', *Law, Technology and Humans*, 1(1), pp. 80–99. Available at: https://doi.org/10.5204/lthj.v1i0.132 4.
- Susanti, E. and Rahardjo, E. (2018) Hukum dan Kriminologi. Bandar Lampung: AURA CV Anugrah Utama Raharja. Available at: https://jdih.situbondokab.go.id/bara ng/buku/Hukum dan Kriminologi Buku Ajar (Emilia Susanti, S.H., M.H. Eko Rahadjo, S.H. etc.) (z-lib.org).pdf.

- Susanto, H. et al. (2023) 'Pertentangan Hak Imunitas Advokat dengan Obstruction of Justice dalam Putusan Nomor 9 / Pid . Sus /TPK/2018/PN.JKT.PST', *Proceeding:* 5TH *NATIONAL* CONFERENCE on Law Studies, pp. Available 648–662. https://conference.upnvj.ac.id/index.
- Wolski, B. (2020) 'Confidentiality and privilege in mediation: Concepts in need of better regulation and explanation', *University of New South Wales Law Journal*, 43(4), pp. 1552–1594. Available at: https://doi.org/10.53637/lodc7766.

php/ncols/article/view/2722.